

AN AFFINE MODEL OF $X_0(pq) + q$

SOYOUNG CHOI*

ABSTRACT. In this article, we show that the modular equation $\Phi_p^{T_q}(X, Y)$ of Thompson series T_q corresponding to $\Gamma_0(q) + q$ gives an affine model of a modular curve $X_0(pq) + q$.

1. Introduction

Let $T_{(n)}$ be the fundamental Thompson series corresponding to $\Gamma_0(n)$. Chen and Yui [1] constructed the modular polynomial $\Phi_{mn}(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ for $T_{(n)}$ and investigated their properties, where m is any positive integer coprime to n . The author and Koo [2] showed that the modular polynomial $\Phi_{nm}(X, Y)$ for $T_{(n)}$ gives an affine model of the modular curve $X_0(mn)$ defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Let $\Gamma_0(q) + q$ be the group generated by a Hecke group $\Gamma_0(q)$ and a Fricke involution $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $\Gamma_0(pq) + q$ be the group generated by a Hecke group $\Gamma_0(pq)$ and an Atkin-Lehner involution $\begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ xpq & yq \end{pmatrix}$. Let T_q be the fundamental Thompson series corresponding to $\Gamma_0(q) + q$. Chen and Yui [1] also constructed the modular polynomial $\Phi_p^{T_q}(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$, where p, q are distinct primes. Let $X_0(pq) + q$ be a modular curve $\Gamma_0(pq) + q \setminus \mathfrak{H}^*$.

In this article, we show that the modular equation $\Phi_p^{T_q}(X, Y)$ gives an affine model of modular curve $X_0(pq) + q$ over \mathbb{Q} (Theorem 2.4).

Throughout this article, we adopt the following notations:

- $q \in \{3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}$
- p : a prime number coprime to q
- $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $yx - xp = 1$

Received July 01, 2013; Accepted July 24, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11F11, 14H50, 11R37.

Key words and phrases: affine model.

*This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(2012R1A1A3011711).

- \mathfrak{H} : the upper half complex plane
- \mathfrak{H}^* : the extended upper half complex plane
- $\Gamma_0(q) + q$: the group generated by a Hecke group $\Gamma_0(q)$ and a Fricke involution $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- $\Gamma_0(pq) + q$: the group generated by a Hecke group $\Gamma_0(pq)$ and an Atkin-Lehner involution $\begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ xpq & yq \end{pmatrix}$
- $X_0(pq) + q$: a modular curve $\Gamma_0(pq) + q \backslash \mathfrak{H}^*$
- $K(X_0(pq) + q)$: the function field of $X_0(pq) + q$
- T_q : the fundamental Thompson series corresponding to $\Gamma_0(q) + q$
- $q_h = e^{2\pi iz/h}$ ($z \in \mathfrak{H}$)

2. An affine model of $X_0(pq) + q$

At first, we show that $T_q(z)$ and $T_q(pz)$ generate the function field of $X_0(pq) + q$. We need the following two Lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. *The coset $\Gamma_0(pq) \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ xpq & yq \end{pmatrix}$ has no parabolic element.*

Proof. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ cpq & d \end{pmatrix}$ be any element of $\Gamma_0(pq)$. If $\gamma \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ xpq & yq \end{pmatrix}$ is parabolic element, then $(qa + bxpq + pqc + dyq)^2 = 4q$, from where we have $q = 2$. This is a contradiction. Thus we have the result. □

LEMMA 2.2. *$\{\infty, 1/q\}$ is the set of representatives of all $\Gamma_0(pq) + q$ -inequivalent cusps.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, the cardinality of the set of representatives of all $\Gamma_0(pq) + q$ -inequivalent cusps is half of that of the set of representatives of all $\Gamma_0(pq)$ -inequivalent cusps (see [5, Proposition 1.37]). Thus the cardinality of the set of representatives of all $\Gamma_0(pq) + q$ -inequivalent cusps is 2. Now it suffices to show that ∞ and $1/q$ are $\Gamma_0(pq) + q$ -inequivalent. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be any element of $\Gamma_0(pq)$. If $\gamma\infty = 1/q$, then $c = \pm q$ (which is a contradiction). If $\gamma \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ xpq & yq \end{pmatrix} \infty = 1/q$, then p divides a (which is also a contradiction). Thus ∞ and $1/q$ are $\Gamma_0(pq) + q$ -inequivalent. □

For a cusp x , take $\sigma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\sigma x = \infty$. Then there exists $h > 0$ such that

$$\sigma\Gamma_0(pq)_x\sigma^{-1} \cdot \{\pm 1\} = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^m : m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We call h the *width* of a cusp x in $\Gamma_0(pq)$. By Lemma 2.1, the width of a cusp x in $\Gamma_0(pq) + q$ is equal to that in $\Gamma_0(pq)$ (see [4, page 41]). Thus, we have the following table.

TABLE 1

a cusp	∞	$1/q$
the width	1	p

PROPOSITION 2.3. $K(X_0(pq) + q) = \mathbb{C}(T_q(z), T_q(pz))$.

Proof. The following equations show that $T_q(z)$ and $T_q(pz)$ are invariant under the action of $\Gamma_0(pq) + q$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_q(z) \Big| \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ xpq & yq \end{pmatrix} &= T_q(z) \Big| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} xp & y \\ -q & -1 \end{pmatrix} = T_q(z) \Big| \begin{pmatrix} xp & y \\ -q & -1 \end{pmatrix} = T_q(z), \\
 T_q(pz) \Big| \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ xpq & yq \end{pmatrix} &= T_q(z) \Big| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} xp & y \\ -pq & -p \end{pmatrix} = T_q(z) \Big| \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -q & -p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= T_q(pz), \\
 T_q(pz) \Big| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ pqc & d \end{pmatrix} &= T_q\left(\frac{a(pz) + bp}{qc(pz) + d}\right) = T_q(pz) \quad \text{if } ad - bpqc = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we observe the behavior of $T_q(z)$ and $T_q(pz)$ at cusps ∞ and $1/q$.

- ∞ : Write $T_q(z) = \frac{1}{q_1} + \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n q_1^n$ ($b_n \in \mathbb{Z}$), then

$$T_q(pz) = \frac{1}{q_1^p} + \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n q_1^{pn}.$$

- $1/q$: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ sends ∞ onto $1/q$. Then we have $T_q(z) \Big| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix} = T_q(z)$ and

$$T_q(pz) \Big| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix} = T_q(z) \Big| \begin{pmatrix} p & -y \\ q & -x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} = T_q\left(\frac{z + y}{p}\right) = \frac{b}{q_p} + \sum_{n \geq 1} b^n c_n q_p^n,$$

where b is a nonzero constant. Therefore, $T_q(z)$ and $T_q(pz)$ are modular functions of $\Gamma_0(pq) + q$ and the orders of $T_q(z)$ and $T_q(pz)$ at cusps ∞ and $1/q$ are given as

TABLE 2

a cusp	∞	$1/q$
the order of $T_q(z)$ at a cusp	-1	$-p$
the order of $T_q(pz)$ at a cusp	$-p$	-1

For any $f \in K(X_0(pq) + q)$, let $d(f)$ be the total degree of poles of f . Then $[K(X_0(pq) + q) : \mathbb{C}(f)] = d(f)$ (see [5, Proposition 2.11]). Since

$T_q(z)$ and $T_q(pz)$ have no poles on the complex upper half plane, from TABLE 2 we obtain that

$$d(T_q(z)^2 + T_q(pz)) = 3p, \quad d(T_q(z) + T_q(pz)) = 2p, \quad d(T_q(z)) = p + 1.$$

Thus we have $\gcd(d(T_q(z)^2 + T_q(pz)), d(T_q(z) + T_q(pz)), d(T_q(z))) = 1$, which implies that $K(X_0(pq) + q) = \mathbb{C}(T_q(z), T_q(pz))$. Because $[K(X_0(pq) + q) : \mathbb{C}(T_q(z), T_q(pz))]$ divides $[K(X_0(pq) + q) : \mathbb{C}(T_q^2(z) + T_q(pz))]$, $[K(X_0(pq) + q) : \mathbb{C}(T_q(z) + T_q(pz))]$ and $[K(X_0(pq) + q) : \mathbb{C}(T_q(z))]$. \square

In [1], Chen-Yui construct the modular equation $\Phi_p^{T_q}(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ of $T_q(z)$ which is an irreducible symmetric polynomial in two variables X, Y such that

$$\Phi_p^{T_q}(X, T_q) = \prod_{w \in \Omega(p)} (X - T_q \circ w),$$

where $\Omega(p) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : ad = p, a > 0, 0 \leq b < d, \gcd(a, b, d) = 1 \}$. The fact that $\Phi_p^{T_q}(T_q(pz), T_q(z)) = 0$ and Proposition 2.3 imply the following.

THEOREM 2.4. *The modular equation $\Phi_p^{T_q}(X, Y)$ of T_q gives an affine model of a modular curve $X_0(pq) + q$.*

Finally we give several examples of the modular equation $\Phi_p^{T_q}(X, Y)$ using Mahler's explicit description of modular equations for "basic" S_p -series ([3, p.90-93]).

EXAMPLE 2.5.

- $p = 2, q = 3$. $\Phi_2^{T_3}(X, Y) = X^3 + (-Y^2 + 1566)X^2 + (17343Y + 741474)X + (Y^3 + 1566Y^2 + 741474Y + 28166076)$ gives an affine model of $X_0(6) + 3$.
- $p = 2, q = 7$. $\Phi_2^{T_7}(X, Y) = X^3 + (-Y^2 + 102)X^2 + (407Y + 3810)X + (Y^3 + 102Y^2 + 3810Y + 27100)$ gives an affine model of $X_0(14) + 7$.
- $p = 3, q = 7$. $\Phi_3^{T_7}(X, Y) = X^4 + (-Y^3 + 153Y + 612)X^3 + (2043Y^2 + 37080Y + 168507)X^2 + (153Y^3 + 37080Y^2 + 652391Y + 2982276)X + (Y^4 + 612Y^3 + 168507Y^2 + 2982276Y + 13600628)$ gives an affine model of $X_0(21) + 7$.

References

- [1] I. Chen and N. Yui, *Singular values of Thompson series; Groups, Difference sets and Monster*, de Gruyter, 255-326, 1995.
- [2] S. Choi and J. Koo, *An affine model of $X_0(mn)$* , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **44** (2007), no. 2, 379-383.

- [3] K. Mahler, *On a class of non-linear functional equations connected with modular functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **22** (1976), no. 1, 65–118.
- [4] T. Miyake, *Modular Forms*, Springer Verlag, 1989.
- [5] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Publ. Math. Soc. Japan, no. 11, Tokyo Princeton, 1971.

*

Department of Mathematics Education
Dongguk University-Gyeongju
Gyeongju 780-714, Republic of Korea
E-mail: young@dongguk.ac.kr